Case 5:20-cv-03642-EJD	Document 713-5	Filed 03/07/25	Page 1 of 13
		_ ~	
H_{λ}	XHIBI	T ('	

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	in re: TELESCOPES) ANTITRUST LITIGATION)
4	This Document Relates to:) Case No.: AURORA ASTRO PRODUCTS) 5:20-CV-03639-EJD
5	LLC, et al.,) 5:20-CV-03642-EJD
6	Plaintiff,)
7	v.)
8	CELESTRON ACQUISITION,) LLC, et al.,)
9 10	Defendants.))
11	
12	
13	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL: ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
14	30(b)(6) VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF SYLVIA SHEN
15	CONDUCTED VIRTUALLY
16	March 27, 2024
17	9:03 a.m.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	REPORTED BY:
24	Tammy Moon, CSR No. 13184, RDR, CRR
25	



1	right now?	09:49:57
2	A. I know you are talking about Synta Canada	09:50:19
3	right now.	09:50:20
4	Q. Okay. I'll ask you some questions, then.	09:50:21
5	What	09:50:26
6	MR. FROST: Eric, hold on one second. I'm	09:50:26
7	sorry.	
8	MR. SCHLABS: Sure.	09:50:52
9	THE WITNESS: I have I have one	09:50:52
10	question. When you talked about the relevant time	09:50:53
11	period, did you mean from 2005? I heard you mention	09:50:55
12	2001. I'm a little confused.	09:51:03
13	MR. SCHLABS:	09:51:14
14	Q. I believe the relevant time period for this	09:51:15
15	topic is January 1st, 2001, through the present.	09:51:17
16	MR. FROST: Even though the lawsuit only	09:51:23
17	relates to 2005, we're allowing them to ask	09:51:27
18	questions for a little bit prior to that period, to	09:51:30
19	2001. So if you have any information for that	09:51:32
20	period, it's okay to provide that.	09:51:35
21	MR. SCHLABS:	09:52:24
22	Q. Ms. Shen, do you do you understand the	09:52:25
23	relevant time period?	09:52:27
24	A. I understand.	09:52:36
25	Q. Okay. Thank you. What year was Synta	09:52:37
		1



]
1	Canada established?	09:52:41
2	A. December 1996.	09:53:00
3	Q. Who started Synta Canada?	09:53:05
4	A. When it started, there were three	09:53:36
5	shareholders.	09:53:38
6	Q. And who were those three shareholders?	09:53:44
7	A. David Shen, Sylvia Shen, and Jack Chen.	09:54:10
8	Q. And for what purpose was Synta Canada	09:54:18
9	established?	09:54:21
10	MR. FROST: Did the video go off? Okay.	09:54:43
11	THE WITNESS: At that time, it was because	09:55:22
12	we, Jack and I, immigrated to Canada. We wanted to	09:55:24
13	open a company to see whether there were businesses	09:55:31
14	that we could do.	09:55:36
15	MR. SCHLABS:	09:55:39
16	Q. Okay. Thank you. Is Synta Canada still	09:55:40
17	operating?	09:55:42
18	MR. FROST: Objection. Vague and	09:55:54
19	ambiguous.	09:56:17
20	THE WITNESS: I would I would like to	09:56:17
21	ask what "operating" means.	09:56:19
22	MR. SCHLABS:	09:56:21
23	Q. I can ask some more specific questions. Is	09:56:22
24	it fair to call Synta Canada a holding company?	09:56:27
25	THE CHECK INTERPRETER: "A more specific	09:56:43
	i	1



	l .		1
1	question	" was translated into "a more similar	09:56:44
2	question	. "	09:56:47
3		THE MAIN INTERPRETER: So interpreter will	09:56:55
4	retransla	ate that part, then.	09:56:56
5		(Interpretation by interpreter.)	09:57:29
6		THE WITNESS: I think so.	09:57:29
7		MR. SCHLABS:	09:57:33
8	Q.	Has Synta Canada ever sold telescopes?	09:57:34
9	Α.	As far as I know, it has never bought or	09:58:02
10	sold tele	escopes.	09:58:06
11	Q.	Okay. Thank you. Has Synta Canada ever	09:58:12
12	designed	telescopes or telescope features?	09:58:15
13		THE MAIN INTERPRETER: The interpreter did	09:58:52
14	not hear	the witness clearly. Interpreter will	09:58:53
15	double-cl	heck.	09:58:56
16		(Interpretation by interpreter.)	09:59:14
17		THE WITNESS: So Synta Canada has never	09:59:14
18	designed	telescopes.	09:59:16
19		MR. SCHLABS:	09:59:20
20	Q.	Has Synta Canada ever manufactured	09:59:20
21	telescope	es?	09:59:24
22	Α.	Synta Canada has never manufactured	09:59:45
23	telescope	es either.	09:59:49
24	Q.	Has Synta Canada ever had employees?	09:59:52
25	Α.	Synta Canada did not have any employees	10:00:27
	1		I



Case 5:20-cv-03642-EJD Document 713-5 Filed 03/07/25 Page 6 of 13

SYLVIA SHEN 30b6 Highly Confidential - AEO In Re: Telescopes Antitrust Litigation

	-	1
1	before 2011.	10:00:29
2	Q. Did Synta Canada have employees after 2011?	10:00:41
3	A. Yes. Correct.	10:00:59
4	Q. And who were they?	10:01:02
5	THE MAIN INTERPRETER: The interpreter	10:01:28
6	needs to double-check.	10:01:30
7	(Interpretation by interpreter.)	10:01:37
8	THE WITNESS: Mainly two to three	10:01:37
9	engineers.	10:01:39
10	MR. SCHLABS:	10:01:46
11	Q. Does Synta Canada currently have any	10:01:47
12	employees?	10:01:49
13	A. No.	10:02:03
14	Q. When was the last time Synta Canada had an	10:02:04
15	employee?	10:02:12
16	A. Around between around 2018 to 2019.	10:02:13
17	Q. Has Synta Canada ever had any employees	10:02:46
18	aside from the two to three engineers who you	10:02:49
19	mentioned?	10:02:52
20	A. As far as I can recall, there were also	10:03:45
21	some others for example, the payroll people or	10:03:49
22	people doing chores for the company. They were	10:03:52
23	short term, and if they came and they come and	10:03:57
24	go.	10:04:03
25	Q. Anyone aside from the engineers, the	10:04:04
		I



1	payroll people, and the short-term people doing	10:04:08
2	chores for the company?	10:04:11
3	A. I can't recall.	10:04:41
4	Q. What were the names of the two to three	10:04:44
5	engineers who were employed by Synta Canada sometime	10:04:47
6	between 2011 and 2019?	10:04:52
7	A. They are Eric Liu, Justin Liu, Frank Liu.	10:05:39
8	Q. Thank you. And what were the day-to-day	10:05:51
9	responsibilities of those engineers at Synta Canada?	10:05:53
10	A. Their responsibilities they took care of	10:07:21
11	their jobs. For example, they had goals to reach.	10:07:26
12	So every day, they would focus on how to reach the	10:07:31
13	goals.	10:07:36
14	Compared with a regular type of job, it's	10:07:39
15	hard to say their daily responsibilities because	10:07:42
16	they needed time to achieve the goals. So it was	10:07:47
17	not like they did some something every day and	10:07:52
18	type.	10:07:59
19	Q. What were their goals? What kinds of	10:08:02
20	projects were they working on?	10:08:04
21	A. Because I'm not an engineering person,	10:09:09
22	so I really cannot give you any detailed answers.	10:09:12
23	Q. Even if you can't give me any detailed	10:09:21
24	answers, can you tell me whether they were working	10:09:25
25	on engineering for telescopes or telescope	10:09:29
		I



]
1	accessories?	10:09:33
2	A. So I don't know whether I can answer your	10:11:31
3	question this way. The items that we were working	10:11:33
4	on were electronic products and software	10:11:37
5	development-related items. They were not	10:11:41
6	telescopes.	10:11:49
7	Telescopes are more related to optics and	10:11:50
8	machinery, and we we were not in the telescope	10:11:54
9	field. How do I put it? It's telescope is in a	10:12:04
10	different field. We were not in the field of	10:12:09
11	optics, machinery, or the whole telescopes.	10:12:16
12	Q. Okay. Thank you. That's helpful. Did	10:12:23
13	Synta Canada sell any electronic products or	10:12:27
14	software?	10:12:31
15	A. In the end, we sold the the outcome we	10:13:39
16	got from the electronic products and software to our	10:13:47
17	customers.	10:13:53
18	Q. And who were Synta Canada's customers of	10:13:58
19	electronic products and software?	10:14:02
20	A. And our Synta Canada's customer. In the	10:15:29
21	end, we in the end, we sold it to Nantong	10:15:32
22	Schmidt.	10:15:48
23	Q. Did Synta Canada have any customers for any	10:15:48
24	products other than Nantong Schmidt?	10:15:52
25	A. We did not sell other products.	10:16:26



Case 5:20-cv-03642-EJD Document 713-5 Filed 03/07/25 Page 9 of 13

SYLVIA SHEN 30b6 Highly Confidential - AEO In Re: Telescopes Antitrust Litigation

1	Q. And for the products that it did have,	10:16:33
2	electronic products and software products, did Synta	10:16:37
3	Canada sell those to any customers other than	10:16:41
4	Nantong Schmidt?	10:16:45
5	A. They did not sell them to other customers,	10:17:28
6	but I would like to clarify one thing. Our products	10:17:31
7	were sold to Pacific. Through Pacific, they were	10:18:25
8	sold to Nantong Schmidt.	10:18:29
9	Q. Okay. Thank you. That's helpful. So is	10:18:39
10	it fair to say that all of Synta Canada's products	10:18:48
11	were sold to Nantong Schmidt through Pacific?	10:18:50
12	A. You can say that.	10:19:20
13	Q. Does since 2019, has Synta Canada done	10:19:27
14	any engineering work?	10:19:30
15	A. As far as I know, nothing after that.	10:19:58
16	Q. Did the engineers employed by Synta Canada	10:20:01
17	do work for any other companies in their capacity as	10:20:11
18	Synta Canada employees?	10:20:17
19	MR. FROST: Can you read that question	10:20:41
20	back, please.	10:20:42
21	(Record read.)	10:20:56
22	THE WITNESS: As far as I know, no.	10:21:13
23	I would like to take a break.	10:21:15
24	MR. SCHLABS: Okay. We can take a break.	10:21:17
25	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at	10:21:21
		1



Case 5:20-cv-03642-EJD Document 713-5 Filed 03/07/25 Page 10 of 13

SYLVIA SHEN 30b6 Highly Confidential - AEO In Re: Telescopes Antitrust Litigation

		1
1	shareholders when the company was started?	11:06:01
2	A. Yes.	11:06:16
3	Q. Were there ever any other shareholders	11:06:16
4	other than yourself, David Shen, Jack Chen, and the	11:06:19
5	two family trusts?	11:06:25
6	A. No, as far as I know.	11:06:48
7	Q. Okay. Thank you. Does Synta Canada own	11:06:50
8	shares in any other companies?	11:06:58
9	A. Yes.	11:07:15
10	Q. Which companies?	11:07:16
11	A. Synta Canada owns shares of SW.	11:07:36
12	Q. Does Synta Canada own shares in any other	11:07:40
13	companies besides SW Technology?	11:07:44
14	A. Yes.	11:08:00
15	Q. And what companies are those?	11:08:02
16	A. It has some shares of Suzhou Synta and also	11:08:32
17	Nantong Schmidt.	11:08:37
18	Q. Okay. Thank you. Does Synta Canada own	11:08:40
19	shares in any companies besides SW Technology,	11:08:42
20	Suzhou Synta, and Nantong Schmidt?	11:08:46
21	A. No.	11:09:15
22	Q. What percentage of the shares of SW	11:09:26
23	Technology does Synta Canada own?	11:09:28
24	A. Would you please repeat the question.	11:09:49
25	THE MAIN INTERPRETER: The interpreter will	11:09:51
		1



Case 5:20-cv-03642-EJD Document 713-5 Filed 03/07/25 Page 11 of 13

SYLVIA SHEN 30b6 Highly Confidential - AEO In Re: Telescopes Antitrust Litigation

1	repeat the question.	11:09:53
2	(Interpretation by interpreter.)	11:10:17
3	THE WITNESS: 24 percent.	11:10:17
4	MR. SCHLABS:	11:10:18
5	Q. What percentage of the shares of Suzhou	11:10:19
6	Synta does Synta Canada own?	11:10:22
7	A. 20 percent.	11:10:47
8	Q. And what percentage of the shares of	11:10:52
9	Nantong Schmidt does Synta Canada own?	11:10:55
10	A. 20 percent.	11:11:22
11	Q. Okay. Thank you. Does Synta Canada have	11:11:23
12	any officers?	11:11:36
13	A. When?	11:11:51
14	Q. Now.	11:11:52
15	THE MAIN INTERPRETER: I'm sorry. The	11:12:01
16	interpreter did not hear you clearly.	11:12:03
17	MR. SCHLABS: Did not hear me?	11:12:05
18	THE MAIN INTERPRETER: Yeah. Did you say	11:12:07
19	"now"? Okay.	11:12:09
20	MR. SCHLABS: Now, yes.	11:12:09
21	THE WITNESS: Well, right now is a	11:12:29
22	so-called officer it's called a secretary, right?	11:12:31
23	MR. SCHLABS:	
24	Q. Who is Synta Canada's secretary right now,	11:12:38
25	then?	11:12:40



Case 5:20-cv-03642-EJD Document 713-5 Filed 03/07/25 Page 12 of 13

SYLVIA SHEN 30b6 Highly Confidential - AEO In Re: Telescopes Antitrust Litigation

		1
1	you questions about the same topic, Topic No. 1,	11:26:33
2	except for SW Technology. Do you understand?	11:26:38
3	A. I understand.	11:26:57
4	Q. What year was SW Technology established?	11:26:58
5	A. 2005.	11:27:12
6	Q. Who started SW Technology?	11:27:14
7	A. There were two companies who cofounded the	11:27:37
8	company.	11:27:41
9	Q. And who were the two companies that	11:27:46
10	cofounded SW Technology?	11:27:49
11	A. One was Synta Canada. The other one was	11:28:26
12	Synta Technology.	11:28:29
13	Q. And why did Synta Canada and Synta	11:28:33
14	Technology establish SW Technology?	11:28:40
15	A. The only purpose was the acquisition of	11:29:10
16	Celestron.	11:29:15
17	Q. Is it fair to describe SW Technology as an	11:29:22
18	investment holding company?	11:29:26
19	A. Yes.	11:29:46
20	Q. Which individuals or entities are	11:29:47
21	shareholders in SW Technology?	11:29:53
22	A. I just talked about it. There were two	11:30:19
23	entities, two companies.	11:30:22
24	Q. Fair enough. Other than Synta Canada and	11:30:24
25	Synta Technology, have there ever been any other	11:30:28
		1



1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
2	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO)
3	I, TAMMY MOON, CSR No. 13184, Certified
4	Shorthand Reporter, do hereby certify:
5	That prior to being examined, the witness
6	in the foregoing proceedings was by me duly sworn to
7	testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing
8	but the truth;
9	That said proceedings were taken by me in
10	shorthand and thereafter transcribed into
11	typewriting under my direction and supervision;
12	That I am neither counsel for, nor related
13	to, any party to said proceedings, nor in any way
14	interested in the outcome thereof.
15	I further certify that I am not a party to
16	any stipulation, if made, that would waive my duties
17	mandated by the Court Reporters Board of California.
18	In witness whereof, I have hereunto
19	subscribed my name.
20	Dated: 3rd of April, 2024
21	
22	Fanny Moon
23	
24	Tammy Moon, CSR No. 13184, RDR, CRR
25	

